

Message

---

**From:** Tomiak, Robert [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E43D67FE354A4D06BE80AFA6EB65E614-TOMIAK, ROB]  
**Sent:** 4/28/2017 7:39:07 PM  
**To:** Johnson, Kathleen [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d36de0cd8ee14ff6a6aa2f1df9b159f6-KJOHNS05]  
**Subject:** Re: Rosemont Mine

How do you think the discussion went? Now that we have some breathing space, perhaps let's strategize one day next week; with OW.

Rob

On Apr 28, 2017, at 2:03 PM, Tomiak, Robert <[tomiak.robert@epa.gov](mailto:tomiak.robert@epa.gov)> wrote:

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Tomiak, Robert" <[tomiak.robert@epa.gov](mailto:tomiak.robert@epa.gov)>  
**Date:** April 28, 2017 at 9:34:41 AM EDT  
**To:** "Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ" <[Edward\\_A\\_Boling@ceq.eop.gov](mailto:Edward_A_Boling@ceq.eop.gov)>  
**Cc:** "Knight, Kelly" <[knight.kelly@epa.gov](mailto:knight.kelly@epa.gov)>, "Marshall, Tom" <[marshall.tom@epa.gov](mailto:marshall.tom@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: Rosemont Mine

Ted,

My initial reaction is that I'd like USDA/USFS to speak to why their timeline does not mention a final permit decision by USACE. Hard to understand any benefit to USFS of moving forward to ROD prior to a final permit decision, which even if overturned and granted is certain to incorporate additional mitigation requirements which should be articulated in the ROD.

## Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Thanks, Rob

-----Original Message-----

From: Boling, Ted A. EOP/CEQ [[mailto:Edward\\_A\\_Boling@ceq.eop.gov](mailto:Edward_A_Boling@ceq.eop.gov)]  
Sent: Friday, April 28, 2017 9:05 AM  
To: Tomiak, Robert <[tomiak.robert@epa.gov](mailto:tomiak.robert@epa.gov)>  
Cc: Knight, Kelly <[knight.kelly@epa.gov](mailto:knight.kelly@epa.gov)>; Marshall, Tom <[marshall.tom@epa.gov](mailto:marshall.tom@epa.gov)>  
Subject: RE: Rosemont Mine

Attached is the Forest Service proposal for discussion at 2pm today.